

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/764,242	01/19/2001	George Wong	CS98-070B	8930
28112 7	590 01/23/2004		EXAMINER	
GEORGE O. SAILE & ASSOCIATES 28 DAVIS AVENUE			DIAZ, JOSE R	
	SIE, NY 12603		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2815	

DATE MAILED: 01/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				m	
		Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/764,2	242	WONG, GEORGE	
	Office Action Summary	Examine	er	Art Unit	
		José R D		2815	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	inication appears ón th	ne cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	•
THE - External control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cone period for reply specified above is less than thirty Diperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum are to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no enterior in the state of a control in the state of the s	event, however, may a atutory minimum of thi will expire SIX (6) MOI pplication to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communical BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ition.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fi	iled on <u>12 December</u>	<u>2003</u> .		
•	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is r			
3)	Since this application is in conditio closed in accordance with the practice.	n for allowance excep ctice under <i>Ex parte</i> Q	ot for formal mat ≀uayle, 1935 C.I	ters, prosecution as to the merits D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	is
Disposit	ion of Claims				
·	Claim(s) <u>18-22</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is. Claim(s) is/are allowed.		onsideration.		
7)	Claim(s) <u>18-22</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to rest	riction and/or election	requirement.		
Applicat	tion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by The drawing(s) filed on is/ar Applicant may not request that any ob Replacement drawing sheet(s) includi The oath or declaration is objected	re: a) accepted or I jection to the drawing(s) ng the correction is requ) be held in abeya uired if the drawing	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
a 13)□ :	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of 1. Certified copies of the prioring 2. Certified copies of the prioring 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office act Acknowledgment is made of a claim since a specific reference was included 7 CFR 1.78. Na) The translation of the foreign of Acknowledgment is made of a claim reference was included in the first see the second priority and the	ty documents have be ty documents have be es of the priority docur tional Bureau (PCT R tion for a list of the ce n for domestic priority ded in the first senten- language provisional an	een received. een received in a ments have been ule 17.2(a)). ortified copies no under 35 U.S.C ce of the specifi application has under 35 U.S.C	Application No In received in this National Stage It received. It is \$ 119(e) (to a provisional application or in an Application Data Stage) It is the provisional application or in an Application Data Stage of the provisional application or in an Application Data Stage of the provisional application or in an Application Data Stage of the provisional application or in an Application Data Stage of the provisional application or in an Application Data Stage of the provisional application Data Stage of the Data Stage of	Sheet.
Attachme	nt(s)				
2) Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449		· —	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_·

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 18-19, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheng (US Pat. No. 6,171,976 B1) in view of Applicant's admitted prior art.

Regarding claim 18, Cheng teaches a semiconductor substrate (see fig. 6) comprised of:

- (a) a patterned conductive layer (see col. 2, lines 64-67) forming portion of semiconductor devices (210) and patterned fill layer (212) in said kerf areas (204) (see fig. 6);
- (b) a spin-on-glass layer (220) over said patterned conductive layer (210, 212) converted to a planar silicon oxide layer (see col. 3, lines 29-31);
 - (c) an insulating layer (216) on said silicon oxide layer (220) (see fig. 6).

In addition, Cheng teaches that the disclosed invention is usable for VLSI and even for ULSI process (see col. 1, lines 19-20).

However, Cheng is silent with respect to the teaching of forming a multilevel of metal layers. Applicant acknowledged ULSI circuits requires more levels of metal to

Application/Control Number: 09/764,242

Art Unit: 2815

effectively interconnect the high density of discrete devices on the chip (see last paragraph of page 1, and lines 1-8 of page 2).

Cheng and Applicant's admitted prior art are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor as applicant's invention. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide additional levels of patterned conductive layer. The motivation for doing so, as is taught by Applicant, is effectively interconnecting the high density of discrete devices on the chip (page 2, lines 2-5). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Applicant's admitted prior art with Cheng to obtain the invention of claims 18-19 and 21-22.

Regarding claim 19, Cheng teaches that the conductive layer is a metal (see col. 4, lines 39-40).

Regarding claim 21, Cheng does not teach the limitation of wherein spacing between the patterned fill layers and the die areas in the range is not greater than about 2 μ m. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include the claimed spacing range, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or working ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233. The ordinary artisan would have been motivated to modify Cheng in the manner described above for at least the purpose of improving the uniformity of the chemical mechanical polishing process and avoiding the dishing effect (col. 2, lines 9-11 of Cheng).

Regarding claim 22, Cheng teaches that the kerf areas have a width of between about 120-600 µm between said die (see col. 2, lines 58-59).

Application/Control Number: 09/764,242

Art Unit: 2815

2. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheng (US Pat. No. 6,171,976 B1) in view of Applicant's admitted prior art, and further in view of Lou (US Patent No. 5,759,906).

Regarding claim 20, Cheng teaches that the patterned fill layer (212) and the device layer (210) are formed from the same material (see col. 2, lines 64-67).

However, Cheng is silent with respect to the metal material used to form said patterned conducting layer. Lou teaches that it is well known in the art to form an aluminum of about 6000 Å as the patterned conducting layer in the areas in which integrated circuits will be formed (see col. 5, lines 34-39 and Figs. 3-11).

Cheng, Applicant's admitted prior art and Lou are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor as applicant's invention. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include a patterned conducting layer of aluminum having a thickness of about 6000 Å. The motivation for doing so, as is taught by Lou, is to provide a high electrical conductivity (col. 5, lines 33-34). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Lou with Cheng and Applicant's admitted prior art to obtain the invention of claim 20.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments, filed December 12, 2003, with respect to the rejections of claims 18-22 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Cheng (US Pat. No. 6,171,976 B1).

Art Unit: 2815

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Beilstein, Jr. et al. (US Pat. No. 5,804,464) discloses a conductive material formed in a kerf area (fig. 1), and Cronin et al. (US Pat. No. 5,691,248) discloses filled kerf area (37) (see abstract).

Correspondence

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José R Díaz whose telephone number is (703) 308-6078 or (571) 272-1727, after February 9, 2004. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:00 Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (703) 308-2772. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

comen men

JRD